

was. Then he told me that he was working for the interests of Sacco, a man that was innocent of the crime, with a wife and two children, and asked me if I was indicted by the Grand Jury at Dedham the year before. I told him I was. He asked me the name of the party on whose complaint I had been indicted and asked me what disposition of the case was made in the Court. I told him restitution was made of the amount claimed to have been lost, and I was placed on parole; that the money involved was actually paid back after I had been placed on parole.

Mr. Moore then asked me if I had been visited by any attendants of the District Attorney's office prior to my going on the witness stand. I told him I had been by Mr. Brouillard and another gentleman but that I did not remember his name at that time; Mr. Moore suggested the name of Stewart and I told him I thought that was the one. He asked me about what time they came to see me, and I told him as near as I remembered I thought it was in October or November, 1920; that Mr. Stewart came again and saw me some time in January, 1921. He asked me what Mr. Stewart wanted at that time when he came back the second time, and I told him he wanted me to go to Dedham to see if I could identify Sacco; that I told Mr. Stewart there would be nothing gained by my going to Dedham as I was positive of the identification. Then Mr. Moore said "In fact you didn't know anything about this case, did you, at all?", and I said "Yes, I did", and he said "Did you at any time communicate with the District Attorney's office" and I told him no. He then asked me how the District Attorney's office knew anything about me. I told him that I didn't know; that Mr. Brouillard came to my house and that was the first time that I knew that the District Attorney knew I knew anything about the case. I told him that I tried to avoid the case as I did not want to get mixed up in it, and he said,